Joshua Adam Schultes Plaintff

-V-

David Denton Jr., et al., Defodants

23-CV-5656-ERK FILED

IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N Y.

MAR 0 1 2024 A STORY BROOKLYN OFFICE

MOTION FOR ASSIGNMENT OF PRO BOND COUNSEL

The Plaintiff moves the court for assignment of pro bono or contingency representation in the instant case based on the following reasons:

- The government refises to allow the plaintiff access to his own filings in the previous iteration of this case, 22-cv-5841, which was dusmissed despite a pending motion for an anended complaint, and among other visives. The filings include the illegal search warrants, which should not be sealed, and declassified CIPA transcripts, which should not be sealed. The government's ruse preventing an which should not be sealed. The government's ruse preventing an indigent pro se plantiff from representing himself thus requires the government to practe for coursel or the court to assign pro bond or Contingency-based coursel.
 - 2) The government's arbitrary confinement conclitions restrict Plaintet from Sufficient paper, per, or access to a law library (which has now been broken for 6 weeks).
 - 3) SAMs prevents plaintiff from contacting contingercy-based or pro bono counsel easily, and mail has been delayed to once-perquerter— this delayed bey 3 months or so.

Firthermore, the facts of this Ease strongly support Plaintiff's complaint. The Plaintiff consented that the government's staff to physically remove the laptop's hard drive on strict men conditions that they neither power on or search the laptop. This limited authorised consent was recited and the government mammamband agreed before a federal judge in a carpa proceeding. The government then immediately monoral violated the conditions, searched and seated the laptop. The transcripts speak clearly to this point, which is why the yournant is actually obstructing the case — physical material processing of the laptop. The plaintiff will clearly sieceed on motion for surmary judgment. Thus, assignment of coursel is recessary since the case is likely to secued on the ments.

And finally, it should be noted that the seited laptop and discovery drives (which even the government admits contains no contraband) contain extensive work product from other civil cases as well as Plaintiff's Criminal case which will soon be on appeal. If necessary, Plaintiff's assigned counsel will seek access to this work product in the criminal case, but it would be far easier and more convenient if there is border from this court granting Summary judyment.

planniff understands the court is very busy but appreciates a moment of your time for this case.

Josh Schulte 79471054 M.D.C. P.O. Box 329002 Brooklyn, NY 11232 Respectfully Schnitted,

Josh Schulte

14/24 M

Josh Schulk AJAY71051

N. D. BOX 379.002 ろびつ

Brooklyn NT 11232

24-79471-054-0208-MOI ATTN: Case 23-CN-5656-ERK

Pro Su than Office

ULS, DISMIT CONT EDNY Brooklyn NY 1120) 225 autman Plaza East

28 FEB 2024 PM 121 NEW YORK NY 100

Parties of the control of the contro